Structural Evolution and Durability of NiFe-based Catalysts in Water Oxidation at High Current Densities

Yuan Sheng^{1,2}, Markus Kraft^{1,2,3*}, and Rong Xu^{1,2*}

Introduction

Producing hydrogen through renewable energy-driven water electrolysis is a promising solution for decarbonization, but the slow oxygen evolution process at the anode poses a significant challenge. NiFe-based catalysts are highly active materials for the oxygen evolution reaction. This study introduces the first-ever one-step flame aerosol synthesis of NiFe-P, analyzing compositional and structural changes during high-current water oxidation. It also assesses the electrochemical stability and metal composition of NiFe-LDH films during prolonged electrolysis in highly alkaline conditions at 500 mA/cm² and 60°C.

Durability of NiFe-P Nanoparticle/Carbon Composite Films in Water Oxidation at High Current Densities





Electrode synthesis in flames

NiFe-P is prepared by flame aerosol synthesis (FAS). FAS is a process where precursor vapors or microdroplets are fed to a flame, pyrolyzed, and form nanoparticles as an aerosol. Electrodes were synthesized in 10 min by feeding Ni/Fe/P precursor vapors to premixed flame.

Precursors: Nickelocene, Ferrocene, and Triethyl phosphate Oxidant: O₂ Temperature: 2200°C Fuel: C_2H_4





NiFe-P films were synthesized with an equivalence ratio ϕ of a) 1.82, b) 1.88, and c) 1.94.

The thickness of the film increases with ϕ , especially from $\phi = 1.88$ to $\phi = 1.94$.



https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.2c03514

Proposed mechanism for long time durability



- Electrochemical oxidation of carbon, more hydrophilic surface and wetting
- Removal of carbon species and increase in accessible active sites

Long term electrolysis step

- In alkaline OER, Fe oxyhydroxides dissolves much faster than Ni oxyhydroxides
- Top layer of particles detach, so that a relatively fresh, Fe-rich Surface is exposed over the course of stability test

Enhancing OER stability of NiFe LDH in industrial conditions



- OER stability outcomes of NiFe-LDH demonstrate the improved stability of the catalyst resulting from the presence of the additives. TD in consideration

Contact: morteza.kolaei@ntu.edu.sg

¹School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Nanyang Technological University, 637459, Singapore; ²Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education in Singapore, 138602, Singapore; ³Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0AS, United Kingdom









Ammonia E-Cracking

<u>Chencheng Dai^{1,2}, Adrian C. Fisher¹ and Zhichuan J. Xu^{1,2}</u>

Introduction

Ammonia is electrochemically cracked under low temperature and ambient pressure in an MEA electrolyzer, where nitrogen and hydrogen are generated at anode and cathode, respectively. The MEA configuration increase ammonia e-cracking activity, as well as produce hydrogen and nitrogen gases via different outlets. The stable industrial-level current density of 200 mA cm⁻² can be achieved with a low cell voltage of 0.67 V.

MEA Electrolyzer

Electrochemical AOR Catalyst Poisoning

AOR on Pt in an H-cell





Cross-sectional illustration of MEA electrolyzer Anode: $2NH_3 + 6OH^- \rightarrow N_2 + 6H_2O + 6e^-$ Cathode: $H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-$ Overall: $2NH_3 \rightarrow N_2 + 3H_2$



The catalyst poisoning at higher potentials hinders the obtain of higher current densities.

N_{ad} forms when the potential is more positive than the peak potential, which can eventually poison the Pt catalyst.

AOR catalyzed by Pt/C on glassy carbon electrode in an H-cell with various ammonia concentrations at room temperature and pressure

AOR on Pt in an MEA Electrolyzer (a) (b) (C) 1 M KOH & 0.5 M NH₃ 2 mg cm⁻² Pt -25 mA cm⁻² 1.4 0.5 0.5 -0.1 M NH -1 mg cm⁻² – – 50 mA cm⁻² ---- 2 mg cm⁻² ---- 0.25 M NH₂ - - - 100 mA cm⁻² 1.2 0.4 ----- 4 mg cm⁻² 0.5 M NH₃ --- 150 mA cm⁻² cm⁻²) cm⁻²) --- 1 M NH₃ ----200 mA cm⁻² E 1.0 0.3 0.3 ---- 250 mA cm⁻² ш ----- 300 mA cm⁻² **>** 0.2 **>** 0.2

AOR Byproducts + H20 slow +* NH tast $(H^{+} + c^{-})$ (II+c)



120 \mathbb{N}_2 \mathbb{NO}_3^- Proposed AOR 100 0.65 80 (%) ^{0.60} S 60 Ш 0.55 40 20 0.50 150 50 100 200 25 $J (mA cm^{-2})$

mechanism on Pt (1 0 0). Nitrate could be a potential AOR byproduct, but not a ÍS suitable catalyst.

AOR catalyzed by Pt/C in an MEA electrolyzer with various ammonia concentrations, catalyst loadings and applied current densities at 80 °C and ambient temperature.

- The comparison of various Pt loading shows the 2 mg cm⁻² is the best loading;
- The comparison of various ammonia concentration suggest the 0.5 M is the optimal concentration.
- A stable cell voltage of 0.67 V can be achieved at the current density of 200 mA cm⁻².

AOR Electrolyzer Stack

• A variable number of MEA electrolyzers can be stacked to achieve a large scope of the hydrogen production rate, suitable for on-demand and on-site hydrogen production.



Green Hydrogen Production

Reactant	Reactions	<i>E</i> ⁰ (V vs. RHE)	<i>U_{EC}</i> @ 0.2 A cm ⁻² (V)	W_{e} @0.2 A cm ⁻² (kWh / kg H ₂)	Electricity cost $(SGD / kg H_2)^*$
H ₂ O	$\begin{vmatrix} 2H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2 + \\ O_2 \end{vmatrix}$	1.23	1.6Q	42.9	11.8
NH ₃	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2NH_3 \rightarrow & N_2 & + \\ 3H_2 \end{array}$	0.06	0.67	18.0	4.9

*Cost is calculated based on the electricity price in Singapore (27.43 cents per kWh)

Conclusions

References

1. Singapore Patent Application # 10202301326Y 2. Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1805173. 3. J. Catal. 2018, 359, 82. 4. Electrochim. Acta 2011, 56, 8085.

- The electrochemical ammonia cracking to produce hydrogen can be conducted at a low cell voltage at low temperature and ambient pressure.
- The anodic product nitrogen and the cathodic product hydrogen are separated by the MEA. So, the product gases separation/purification processes can be simplified.

Affiliations

¹ The Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education in Singapore, 1 CREATE Way, Singapore 138602, Singapore ² School of Material Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore











Electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction

Mikhail K. Kovalev¹, Hangjuan Ren, Simon Rihm, Magda Barecka, Sheng Yuan, Alexei Lapkin², Joel W. Ager³

Introduction

The project, driven by the urgent need to tackle CO_2 over-emission, has focused on utilizing renewable energy sources for converting carbon dioxide into valuable chemical products. The study has unveiled critical electro-catalytic mechanisms for CO_2 conversion and explored potential industrial applications for this process. The resulting table-top electrochemical plant equipped with large electrodes capable of operating currents up to 100 A. This groundbreaking initiative aims to play a pivotal role in mitigating climate change and promoting sustainable practices.

Small scale electrode setup for fundamental studies

Small-scale setup scheme for reaction studies:

- Gas diffusion electrode (GDE) size 1 x 1 cm²
- Operates with or without coupled gas chromatograph
- GC-MS results example below





Proposed mechanism of CO₂ electroreduction on copperbased GDE. The scheme divided by the number of C-atoms that have been detected by GC-MS analysis



Isotope 13C distribution in electroreduction products and unreacted CO₂





Summary:

- GC-PTR-TOF-MS analysis identified over 20 distinct products –confirming 10 previously unknown ones, including multiple C5 species
- Operando PTR-TOF-MS shown 12C/13C isotope fractionation (δ13C) to be highly negative in reaction products and highly positive for unreacted CO₂
- 13C isotope can be enriched even more under specific conditions as it remains in unreacted CO₂

Time (min)

Possible applications



Possible applications:

- Production of carbon monoxide that can be used in syngas with silver-based electrodes
- Production of high-value multi-carbon products such as ethylene, ethanol, propylene or propanol with copper-based electrodes
- Recirculation of unreacted CO₂ opens possibility to continuously enrich 13C to commercially viable concentrations

Tabletop reactor for large scale electrodes - 10x10 cm²



Large-electrode table-top reactor



eCO₂EP team



References

Ren, H., Kovalev, M., Weng, Z. et al. Nat. Catal. 5, 1169–1179 (2022). Rihm, S., Kovalev, M., et al. Environ. Sci.,16, 1697-1710 (2023) Barecka et al., iScience, (in-press)

¹Mikhail Kovalev, CARES, mikhail.kovalev@cares.cam.ac.uk ²Alexei Lapkin, Cambridge University, aal35@cam.ac.uk ³Joel W. Ager, LBNL, jwager@lbl.gov









Empowering Low-Carbon Future: Unveiling the Vital Role of Energy in Carbon Capture and Utilization Zhimian Hao¹, Alexei Lapkin^{1,2}

Introduction

Net zero promotes the energy transition from fossil fuels to renewables. Carbon capture and utilization (CCU) presents an intermediate solution, as CCU is believed to be effective in decarbonizing fossil-based industries. However, numerous CCU studies incorporate renewables,¹ and such a 'reverse transition' may underestimate the full potential of CCU. Herein, this work evaluates a 'worst-case' scenario of CCU, by excluding renewables.

We build a hypothetical industrial park, where power plants are integrated with carbon capture and utilization (CCU), to produce power and fuels.²

Single-objective optimization regarding GHG reduction



No renewables are considered for CCU, as the decarbonization efficiency is higher by utilizing renewables in electric cars, heat pump and grid, etc.³



- Evaluation: system boundary
- Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are measured by the cradle-togate system boundary.
- GHG emissions are also evaluated in a reference system (produce equivalent power & fuels, but no CCU included)

• GHG reduction =
$$\frac{CO_{2eq,Ref} - CO_{2eq,CCU}}{CO_{2eq,Ref}} \times 100\%$$

• θ : 29 operating conditions

max (GHG reduction)

- Without optimization, CCU can potentially cause more GHG emissions
- Optimization reduces GHG emissions by 45 %
- ~ 8.26 min
- Multi-objective optimization of GHG reduction vs. profit



- max (GHG reduction, profit)
- θ: 29 operating conditions
- Non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II is used to approximate the Pareto front.
- Trade-off trend between
 two objectives.



Hotspot analysis: breakdown of GHG emissions

- Heating is the biggest source for GHG emissions.
- Heating electrification can greatly reduce GHG emissions.



- Select several Pareto points.
- The reduction of GHG emissions requires the transition to the low-carbon fuels and high-cost of utility (low-carbon electricity).

Take-home messages

- "Low-carbon" process systems, *e.g.*, CCU, may potentially cause more GHG emissions, due to the high energy demand.
- System boundary: this work highlights the importance of considering the entire energy system and energy source when evaluating the environmental impacts of CCU.
- Specifically, regarding CCU based on thermal-chemical processes,

References

Rego de Vasconcelos, B., Lavoie J.-M., Front. Chem., 2019, 7.
 Hao, Z., Barecka, M., Lapkin, A. A., Energy Environ. Sci. 2022, 15, 2139-2153
 Kätelhön, A., et.al., PNAS, 2019, 116, 11187-11194.
 Hao, Z., Zhang C., Lapkin, A. A., AIChE J., 2022, e17616.

- heating can be a significant contributor to GHG emissions.
- Fuel products: CCU-to-methanol exhibits greater carbon efficiency than CCU-to-gasoline/diesel via Fischer-Tropsch (FT).
- Digitalization enables the efficient evaluation of multi-process systems.^{2,4}
- Multi-objective optimization can better balance completing criteria.

Contact information

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge Cambridge CB3 0AS, UK

² Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education in Singapore, CARES Ltd. 1 CREATE Way, CREATE Tower #05-05, 138602 Singapore













Flame Aerosol Synthesized Copper Based Oxide Catalyst for **Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide**

Guanyu Liu,^{1,2,3} Yuan Sheng,^{1,2,4} Markus Kraft,^{2,5} Alexei A. Lapkin,^{2,5} Joel W. Ager,^{6,7} Rong Xu^{1,2,*}

Introduction

Flame aerosol synthesis is scalable and enables direct deposition of flame-synthesized catalyst onto the substrate without the need for post-treatment such as purification or preparation of ink which is commonly used in traditional catalyst preparation for electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide.

Flame Aerosol Synthesis (FAS) **Computational studies**

a



Advantages of FAS in electrode preparations:

- Ink-free technique
- Direct deposition onto substrate
- Highly scalable and industrial relevant
- Properties of the catalyst can be easily tuned by adjusting flame conditions

Figure 1: Flame deposition of copper oxide onto carbon paper substrate







Figure 4: Gas diffusion/transport behaviour in porous Cu electrodes. Surface models of Cu electrodes with a) low surface area and b) high surface area. Simulated flow streamlines and velocity vectors inside porous Cu electrodes with c) low surface area, d) high surface area. Calculated CO₂ concentration distribution in mM for Cu electrodes with e) low surface area and f) high surface area.

Scale up CO₂ Electrochemical Flow Cell

Figure 2: SEM images of oxide derived (OD) copper electrodes with different roughness factor (RF): a) OD-Cu-16 with RF of 16, b) OD-Cu-32 with an RF of 32, c) OD-Cu-45 with an RF of 45, d) OD-Cu-70 with an RF of 70, e) XPS spectra of the Cu 2p. These OD-Cu electrodes were obtained upon CO₂RR in CO_2 -saturated 0.1M KHCO₃ electrolyte at -1.1V vs RHE for 60mins.

CO₂RR Performance



- H₂ selectivity comparison: $O\overline{D}$ -Cu derived catalyst (Cu²⁺) favour $CO_2 RR$ over HER.
- *CO intermediate comparison: OD-Cu offers surface defects that stabilises and encourages C-C coupling.
- *HCOOH intermediate comparison (Alcohol formation selectivity): Cu>Cu²⁺ derived Cu> Cu⁺ derived Cu. Confinement effect of metallic Cu stabilises C-O bond of *HCOOH, which prevent deoxygenation process, that ethylene formation will undergoes.



Figure 5: CO₂RR performance in flow cell configurations a) 1cm² flow cell at operating current of 0.5A, b) 100 cm² flow cell at operating current of 7.5A to 17.5A.

Flow-fields Increase active GDE area to **100cm²**. • Increased operating current up to a maximum of **<u>100A</u>**.

Figure 3:Comparison of CO_2RR performance between metallic Cu and OD-Cu f) FE of H₂, gC_{2+} /methane ratio, h) ethanol/ethylene ratio and i) n-propanol/ethylene ratio as a function of applied potentials and RF over Cu-39 (violet sphere), OD+Cu-21 (pink cube) and OD-Cu (i.e. OD-Cu-16, OD-Cu-32, OD-Cu-45 and OD-Cu-70). Cyan surface corresponds to OD-Cu with various RF.

¹School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology (CCEB), Nanyang Technological University 62 Nanyang Drive, Singapore 637459, Singapore.

²Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education in Singapore (CARES), CREATE Tower, 1 Create Way, Singapore 138602, Singapore.

³School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 201804, China.

⁴College of Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, 18 Chao Wang Road, Hangzhou 310014, China.

⁵Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, West Cambridge Site, Philippa Fawcett Drive, Cambridge CB3 0AS, UK

⁶Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA ⁷Berkeley Educational Alliance for Research in Singapore (BEARS), CREATE Tower, 1 Create Way, Singapore 138602, Singapore

Figure 6: c) Electrochemical set-up for 100cm² flow cell configuration, d) structural design of the 100cm² flow cell.

Future works

- Increasing **stability** of electrocatalyst using different catalyst design Electrode design with a different substrate with post-treatment.
- Improves the **performance** of electrocatalyst Introduction of co-catalyst or multi-layer catalyst design.

References

*Contact: rxu@ntu.edu.sg

Liu, G.; Adesina, P.; Nasiri, N.; Wang, H.; Sheng, Y.; Wu, S.; Kraft, M.; Lapkin, A. A.; Ager, J. W.; Xu, R. Adv Funct Materials 2022, 32 (36)











In situ CO₂ Capture and Catalytic Hydrogenation at Ni/Alkaline Earth Metal Carbonate Interfaces

Xianyue Wu^{a,b,c}, Ribooga Chang^d, Ocean Cheung^d, Wen Liu^{a,b,c,*}

Introduction

Integrated CO_2 capture and utilization (ICCU) scheme facilitates the direct hydrogenation of captured CO_2 to fuels and chemicals (e.g., methane) without the energy-intensive step of sorbent regeneration, thereby achieving process intensification.

The key to the commercial deployment of ICCU is the development of dual functional materials (DFMs). First, one needs to fundamentally understand the interplay between the two functionalities.



Methodology

The synergy between CO_2 sorbents and active sites for CO_2 hydrogenation is investigated by synthesizing model metal-carbonate interfaces.

Depending on the alkalinity of the oxides/stability of the carbonates, reaction could take distinctly different pathways.



Ni/MgCO₃ vs Ni/CaCO₃

 $Ni/MgCO_3$ favors the production of CO via the hydrogenation of readsorbed CO_2 released by $MgCO_3$ decomposition. Ni/CaCO3 favors the production of methane via the direct hydrogenation of carbonates.



In situ DRIFTs measurements (direct hydrogenation at 400 °C) on Ni/MgCO₃ reveal the decomposition of MgCO₃ accompanied by the formation of CO.

Kinetics of hydrogenation

The direct methanation of $CaCO_3$, catalyzed by Ni, consists of a fast stage (hydrogenation of surface carbonate) and a slow stage (hydrogenation of bulk carbonate).





References

Journal of CO² Utilization, Volume 58, 2022, 101922. Catalysts, 2017, Volume 7, Issue 3, 88 Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, Volume 310, 2022, 121294, ISSN 0926-3373 Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, Volume 338, 5 December 2023,

123053 (this work)

Email: <u>xianyue001@e.ntu.edu.sg</u> (Xianyue Wu) Email: <u>wenliu@ntu.edu.sg</u> (Wen Liu)

- ^aSchool of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 637459, Singapore
 - ^bCambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education, 1 Create Way, #05-05, Singapore 138602, Singapore
 - ^cNanyang Environmental and Water Research Institute, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Cleantech Loop, Singapore 637141, Singapore
 - ^dNanotechnology and Functional Materials, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Uppsala University, SE75121, Sweden

"This research project is funded by the National Research Foundation (NRF) Singapore under its Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) programme."













UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

A Machine Learning-Enabled Process Optimization of Ultra-Fast Flow Chemistry with Multiple Reaction Metrics [Dogancan Karan¹, Paul McDaid², Alexei A. Lapkin^{1,3}]

Introduction

Discovering the optimum process parameters of lithium-halogen exchange reactions represents a highly challenging problem. Herein, we demonstrate the development of a machine learning workflow coupled with a flow chemistry platform to build process knowledge of an extremely fast and difficult to control chemistry with multiple conflicting objectives. The workflow revealed rich information about the reaction studied compared conventional approaches.

 \succ A multi-objective optimization problem is solved via TSEMO where <u>yield</u> (2) is maximized, <u>impurity</u> (3) is minimized. Three continuous variables were optimized





Two different reactor configuration is tested > TSEMO identifies the Pareto front in less than 50 experiments.

> Complete trade-off between two objectives can be identified.

□ Mixing-controlled-process in tubular reactor, kinetically-controlled process in chip reactor



- Gaussian Process (GP) surrogate models trained within TSEMO are used to predict the outcome of unseen experiments.
- \geq 30 conditions are predicted from the ML model trained during optimization.

□ Accurate predictions of unseen conditions additional Provides interpretability and process knowledge.

Robust and data efficient method to obtain process knowledge

✓ First demonstration of multi-objective optimization of ultra-fast chemistry

aal35@cam.ac.uk

References

[1] Jeraal, Mohammed I. et. al. Chemistry-Methods 1.1 (2021): 71-77.

Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education in Singapore, CARES Ltd. ² Pfizer Private Limited, Co. Cork, Ireland

³ Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge

"This research was a member-specific project with Pfizer as part of the Pharmaceutical Innovation Programme Singapore (PIPS)"







TEN

Y E A R S 2013 - 2023





CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol

Yu Shao^{1,2}, Wen Liu^{1,2}, Tej Choksi^{1,2}, Ning Yan^{1,3}, Hua Chun Zeng^{1,3}, Alexei Lapkin^{1,4}, Laura Torrente^{1,4}

Introduction

Researchers from NTU, NUS, and Cambridge have developed several high-performance, noble-metalfree catalysts and validated them for the hydrogenation of CO_2 to methanol (MeOH), a key gateway chemical for achieving carbon circularity for the chemical industry. These catalysts successfully overcome process challenges such as low MeOH selectivity and poor performance stability faced by conventional catalysts.

Commercial Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ Catalyst

New Synthetic Strategies for Conventional Cu–Zn Recipe

Stability of LDOH-2.5Cu6Zn at 270°C

(e)



MoS₂ Nanosheets with S-Vacancies





Metal Dispersion in Organosilicate

(d)

Proposed Reaction Mechanisms for CO₂ Hydrogenation on Unmodified Cu/CeO₂ and Cu/CeW_{0.25}O_x

(c)

(b)

□ Boxlike Assemblages of MoS₂ Nanosheets with Edge Blockage





Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Studies









References

Adv. Funct. Mater. 2021, 31, 2102896. ACS Catal. 2022, 12, 9872-9886. Nat. Commun. 2023, 14, 5872. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2023, 6, 782-794. ACS Catal. 2022, 12, 5750-5765. Appl. Catal. B 2022, 306, 121098.

J. Mater. Chem. A 2023, 11, 2698-2710.

Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2023, 62, 1877-1890.

yu.shao@ntu.edu.sg ¹ Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education, CREATE, Singapore ² School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore ³ Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

³ Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom









